

Construction of Rational Surfaces of Degree 12 in Projective Fourspace

Hirotschi Abo

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present two different constructions of smooth rational surfaces in projective fourspace with degree 12 and sectional genus 13. In particular, we establish the existences of five different families of smooth rational surfaces in projective fourspace with the prescribed invariants.

1 Introduction

Hartshorne conjectured that only finitely many components of the Hilbert scheme of surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ contain smooth rational surfaces. In 1989, this conjecture was positively solved by Ellingsrud and Peskine [7]. The exact bound for the degree is, however, still open, and hence the question concerning the exact bound motivates us to find smooth rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$. The goal of this paper is to construct five different families of smooth rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with degree 12 and sectional genus 13. The rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ were previously known up to degree 11.

In this paper, we present two different constructions of smooth rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with the given invariants. Both the constructions are based on the technique of “Beilinson monad”. Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field K and let W be its dual space. The basic idea behind a Beilinson monad is to represent a given coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}(W)$ as a homology of a finite complex whose objects are direct sums of bundles of differentials. The differentials in the monad are given by homogeneous matrices over an exterior algebra $E = \bigwedge V$. To construct a Beilinson monad for a given coherent sheaf, we typically take the following steps: Determine the type of the Beilinson monad, that is, determine each object, and then find differentials in the monad.

Let X be a smooth rational surface in $\mathbb{P}^4 = \mathbb{P}(W)$ with degree 12 and sectional genus 13. The type of a Beilinson monad for the (suitably twisted) ideal sheaf of X can be derived from the knowledge of its cohomology groups. Such information is partially determined from general results such as the Riemann-Roch formula and Kodaira vanishing theorem. It is, however, hard to determine the dimensions of all cohomology groups needed to determine the type of the Beilinson monad. For this reason, we assume that the ideal sheaf of X has the so-called “natural cohomology” in

some range of twists. From this assumption, the Beilinson monad for the twisted ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_X(4)$ of X has the following form:

$$4\Omega^3(3) \xrightarrow{A} 2\Omega^2(2) \oplus 2\Omega^1(1) \xrightarrow{B} 3\mathcal{O}. \quad (1.1)$$

To detect differentials in (1.1), we use the following techniques developed recently: (1) the first technique is an exterior algebra method due to Eisenbud, Fløystad and Schreyer [6] and (2) the other one is the method using small finite fields and random trials due to Schreyer [11].

(1) Eisenbud, Fløystad and Schreyer presented an explicit version of the Bernstein-Gel'fand-Gel'fand correspondence. This correspondence is an isomorphism between the derived category of bounded complexes of finitely generated S -graded modules and the derived category of certain “Tate resolutions” of E -modules, where $S = \text{Sym}_K(W)$. As an application, they constructed the Beilinson monad from the Tate resolution explicitly. This enables us to describe the conditions that the differentials in the Beilinson monad must satisfy in an exterior algebra context.

(2) Let $\mathbb{M}$ be a parameter space for objects in algebraic geometry such as the Hilbert scheme or a moduli space. Suppose that $\mathbb{M}$ is a subvariety of a rational variety $\mathbb{G}$ of codimension c . Then the probability for a point p in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to lie in $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is about $(1 : q^c)$. This approach will be successful if the codimension c is small and the time required to check $p \notin \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is sufficiently small as compared with q^c . This technique was applied first by Schreyer [11] to find four different families of smooth surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with degree 11 and sectional genus 11 over $\mathbb{F}_3$ by a random search, and he provided a method to establish the existence of lifting these surfaces to characteristic zero. This technique has been successfully applied to solve various problems in constructive algebraic geometry (see [12], [13] and [2]).

Here we illustrate the use of Techniques (1) and (2) in the first construction. For a fixed linear part of the second map B in (1.1), we find a map B_2 from $2\Omega^2(2)$ to $3\mathcal{O}$ satisfying the conditions derived from the corresponding Tate resolution. These conditions give an implicit algebraic description of the set $\mathbb{M}$ of isomorphic classes of such B_2 's. In particular, we can show that $\mathbb{M}$ can be regarded as a four-codimensional subvariety of the grassmannian of 4-quotient spaces $\mathbb{G}$ of a ten-dimensional vector space. This B_2 together with the linear part determines the Beilinson monad uniquely up to isomorphisms, and hence it suffices to find a point in $\mathbb{M}$ to construct a smooth surface. A point in $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ can be expected to be found from $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ at a rate of $(1 : q^4)$. We use a random search over $\mathbb{F}_5$ to find a point in $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_5)$ and check smoothness of the corresponding surface $X/\mathbb{F}_5$. The existence of a lift to characteristic zero follows from the existence of a number field $\mathbb{L}$ and a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L},\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L},\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \mathbb{F}_5$. Then the generic point of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{L}$ corresponds to a smooth surface over $\mathbb{L}$.

The second construction is more deterministic. Let A_1 and B_1 be the linear parts of A and B respectively. In the example we found in the first construction, A_1 corresponds to a rational normal curve in $\mathbb{P}(V)$; while B_1 corresponds to a rational cubic scroll in $\mathbb{P}(V)$, that does not intersect the rational normal curve associated to A_1 . From

these A_1 and B_1 , one can reconstruct a smooth rational surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with the same invariants. Indeed, the condition that the composite of B and A is zero gives rise to the homogeneous system of 120 linear equations with 140 unknowns. In this case, the solution space has dimension 26. Thus, we can choose 26 variables freely to determine A and B . A random choice of values for 26 parameters gives the Beilinson monad of type (1.1), and the homology of this monad is the twisted ideal sheaf of the desired smooth surface. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the family of rational normal curves in $\mathbb{P}(V)$. For a fixed rational cubic scroll, and for each $20 \leq N \leq 26$, we can find the subfamily $\mathfrak{F}(N)$ of rational normal curves such that the associated homogeneous system of linear equations has the N -dimensional solution space. The subfamily $\mathfrak{F}(N)$ is of codimension $N - 20$ in $\mathfrak{F}$. So we can expect to find a point p in $\mathfrak{F}(N)(\mathbb{F}_q)$ from $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ at a rate of $(1 : q^{N-20})$. The existence of a smooth rational surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with the desired invariants are established, when $N = 22, 23, 24, 25$ and 26 .

The calculations were done with the computer algebra system **MACAULAY2** developed by Grayson and Stillman [8]. All the **MACAULAY2** scripts needed to construct surfaces are available at <http://www.math.colostate.edu/~abo/programs.html> [1].

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Kristian Ranestad and Frank-Olaf Schreyer for very useful discussion about the subject of this article. The second construction was developed in collaboration with K. Ranestad; I received much help in working out this construction from him. The basic idea of the first construction was due to F.-O. Schreyer. He also supported a great part of my computer skill development. Furthermore, I would like to thank them for permission to publish our results.

2 Exterior algebra method

Both Constructions I and II of rational surfaces use the technique of “Beilinson monad”. A Beilinson monad represents a given coherent sheaf in terms of direct sum of (suitably twisted) bundles of differentials and homomorphisms between these bundles, which are given by homogeneous matrices over an exterior algebra E . Recently, Eisenbud, Fløystad and Schreyer [6] showed that for a given sheaf, one can get the Beilinson monad from its “Tate resolution”, that is a free resolution over E , by a simple functor. This enables us to discuss the Beilinson monad in an exterior algebra context. In this section, we take a quick look at the exterior algebra method developed by Eisenbud, Fløystad and Schreyer.

2.1 Tate resolution of a sheaf

Let W be a $(n + 1)$ -dimensional vector space over a field K , let V be its dual space, and let $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{e_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ be dual bases of V and W respectively. We denote by S the symmetric algebra of W and by E the exterior algebra $\bigwedge V$ on V . Grading on S and E are introduced by $\deg(x) = 1$ for $x \in W$ and $\deg(e) = -1$ for $e \in V$ respectively. The projective space of 1-quotients of W will be denoted by $\mathbb{P}^n = \mathbb{P}(W)$.

Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M_i$ be a finite generated S -graded module. We set

$$\omega_E := \mathrm{Hom}_K(E, K) \simeq E \otimes_K \bigwedge^{n+1} W \simeq E(-n-1)$$

and

$$F^i := \mathrm{Hom}_K(E, M_i) \simeq M_i \otimes_K \omega_E.$$

Let $\phi_i : F^i \rightarrow F^{i+1}$ be the map defined as a map taking $\alpha \in F^i$ to

$$\left[e \mapsto \sum_i x_i \alpha(e_i \wedge e) \right].$$

Then the sequence

$$\mathbf{R}(M) : \dots \rightarrow F^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{i-1}} F^i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} F^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

is a complex. This complex is eventually exact. Indeed, $\mathbf{R}(M)$ is exact at $\mathrm{Hom}_K(E, M_i)$ for all $i \geq s$ if and only if $s > r$, where r be the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of M (see [6] for a detailed proof). So starting from $\mathbf{T}(M)^{>r} := \mathbf{T}(M_{>r})$, we can construct a doubly infinite exact E -free complex $\mathbf{T}(M)$ by adjoining a minimal free resolution of the kernel of ϕ_{r+1} :

$$\mathbf{T}(M) : \dots \rightarrow T^r \rightarrow T^{r+1} := \mathrm{Hom}_K(E, M_{r+1}) \xrightarrow{\phi_{r+1}} \mathrm{Hom}_K(E, M_{r+2}) \rightarrow \dots.$$

This E -free complex is called the *Tate resolution* of M . Since $\mathbf{T}(M)$ can be constructed by starting from $\mathbf{R}(M_{>s})$, $s \geq r$, the Tate resolution depends only on the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \widetilde{M}$ on $\mathbb{P}(W)$ associated to M . We call $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{F}) := \mathbf{T}(M)$ the *Tate resolution* of $\mathcal{F}$. The following theorem gives a description of all the term of a Tate resolution:

Theorem 2.1 ([6]). *Let M be a finitely generated graded S -module and let $\mathcal{F} := \widetilde{M}$ be the associated sheaf on $\mathbb{P}(W)$. Then the term of the complex $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{F})$ with cohomological degree i is $\bigoplus_j H^j \mathcal{F}(i-j) \otimes \omega_E$.*

2.2 Beilinson monad

Eisenbud, Fløystad and Schreyer [6] showed that applying a simple functor to the Tate resolution $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{F})$ gives a finite complex of sheaves whose homology is the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ itself: Given $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{F})$, we define $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ to be the complex of vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}(W)$ obtained by replacing each summand $\omega_E(i)$ by the i th twisted bundle $\Omega^i(i)$ of differentials. The differentials of the complex are given by using isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_E(\omega_E(i), \omega_E(j)) \simeq \bigwedge^{i-j} V \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\Omega^i(i), \Omega^j(j)).$$

Theorem 2.2 ([6]). *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a coherent sheaf on $\mathbb{P}(W)$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is the homology of $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ in cohomological degree 0, and $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ has no homology otherwise.*

We call $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ the *Beilinson monad* for $\mathcal{F}$.

3 Construction I

This section will be devoted to constructing a family of rational surfaces X in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with degree $d = 12$, sectional genus $\pi = 13$ and $p_g = q = 0$. The construction discussed in this section takes the following three steps:

- (1) Find a smooth surface X with the prescribed invariants over a finite field of a small characteristic.
- (2) Determine the type of the linear system, which embeds X into $\mathbb{P}^4$ to justify that the surface X found in the previous step is rational.
- (3) Establish the existence of a lift to characteristic zero.

3.1 Construction over a small field

Let K be a field, let W be a five-dimensional vector space over K with basis $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq 4}$, and let V be its dual space with dual basis $\{e_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq 4}$. Let X be a smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^4 = \mathbb{P}(W)$ with the invariants given above. The first step is to determine the type of the Beilinson monad for the twisted ideal sheaf of X , which is derived from the partial knowledge of its cohomology groups. Such information can be determined from general results such as the Riemann-Roch formula and Kodaira vanishing theorem (see [3] for more detail). Here we assume that X has the natural cohomology in the range $-1 \leq j \leq 4$ of twists:

								4	
	13							3	
			4	2				2	$h^i \mathcal{I}_X(j)$
					2	3		1	
							5	0	
	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5	j	

Here a zero is represented by the empty box. By Theorem 2.1, the Tate resolution $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{I}_X)[4] = \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{I}_X(4))$ includes an exact E -free complex of the following type:

$$\cdots \rightarrow 13\omega_E(5) \rightarrow 4\omega_E(3) \rightarrow 2\omega_E(2) \oplus 2\omega_E(1) \rightarrow 3\omega_E \oplus 5\omega_E(-1) \rightarrow \cdots. \quad (3.1)$$

From Theorem 2.2, it follows, therefore, that the corresponding Beilinson monad for $\mathcal{I}_X(4)$ is of the following type:

$$0 \rightarrow 4\Omega^3(3) \xrightarrow{A} 2\Omega^2(2) \oplus 2\Omega^1(1) \xrightarrow{B} 3\mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2)$$

The next step is to describe what maps A and B could be the differentials of Monad (3.2). The identifications

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Omega^i(i), \Omega^j(j)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_E(\omega_E(i), \omega_E(j)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_E(E(i), E(j)),$$

allow us to think of the maps A and B as homomorphisms between E -free modules. Since the composite of B and A is zero, each column of A can be written as an E -linear combination of columns of $\mathrm{Syz}(B)$. A reasonable case is when the minimal free resolution $\mathbf{B}$ of $\mathrm{Coker}(B)$ has the following type:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} 0 & 3 & 2 & . & . \\ -1 & . & 2 & 4 & a_2 \\ -2 & . & . & a_1 & * \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

Assume that there exists such a map B . Then this B uniquely determines $A_B : 4E(3) \rightarrow 2E(2) \oplus 2E(1)$, which could be the first map in (3.2), up to automorphism of $4E(3)$. In order to exclude superfluous candidates, we check conditions the map B and the associated map A_B should satisfy.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $\mathbf{B}$ is minimal. Then $a_1 = 5$ and $a_2 = 0$.*

Proof. The exact sequence $\mathbf{B}[1]$ includes the linear transformation

$$B(1) : H^0 2\Omega^2(3) \oplus H^0 2\Omega^1(2) \rightarrow H^0 3\mathcal{O}(1).$$

Recall that $H^0 \Omega^i(i+1)$ is isomorphic to $\bigwedge^{i+1} W$ and there are canonical identifications $\bigwedge^{i+1} W \simeq \bigwedge^{4-i} V$. From (3.3), we can deduce that $\mathrm{Ker}(B(1))$ is isomorphic to the quotient of $4V \oplus a_1 K$ by $a_2 K$. Since $\mathbf{B}$ is minimal, the map $a_2 E(4) \rightarrow a_1 E(3)$ in (3.3), and hence the corresponding linear transformation $a_2 K \rightarrow a_1 K$, is zero. Thus the quotient is $4V/a_2 K \oplus a_1 K$. However this is possible only if $a_2 = 0$, because $A_B(1)$ induces an injective linear transformation from $H^0 4\Omega^3(4)$ to $\mathrm{Ker}(B(1))$. By assumption, we obtain

$$h^0 \mathcal{I}_X(5) = \dim(\mathrm{Ker}(B(1))) - 4 \cdot h^0 \Omega^3(3) = (20 + a_1) - 20 = a_1, \quad (3.4)$$

and thus $a_1 = 5$. □

Consider the linear transformation

$$A_B(-5) : H^4 4\Omega^3(-2) \rightarrow H^4 2\Omega^2(-3) \oplus H^4 2\Omega^1(-4).$$

The kernel of this linear transformation is isomorphic to $H^3 \mathcal{I}_X(-1)$. From the fact that $(\Omega^i)^\vee \simeq \Omega^{4-i}(5)$ and Serre duality it follows that for all $i = 1, 2, 3$, there are isomorphisms

$$(H^4 \Omega^i(i-5))^* \simeq H^0 \Omega^{4-i}(5-i) \simeq \bigwedge^i V.$$

Hence $H^3\mathcal{I}_X(-1)$ has the form $b_1V \oplus b_2K$. By assumption, the syzygy matrix of A_B does not contain any linear entries. This implies that the cokernel of A_B has the minimal free presentation of the following shape:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} -1 & 2 & . & . \\ -2 & 2 & 4 & . \\ -3 & . & . & 13 \end{array} \quad (3.5)$$

After all, our task is to find maps B satisfying Conditions (3.3), (3.4) such that A_B fulfills Condition (3.5).

Let B_1 be the linear part of B . Assume that B_1 is the general member of $\text{Hom}(2E(1), 3E)$. Consider the following problem:

Problem: Find a $B_2 \in \text{Hom}(2E(2), 3E)$ such that $B = (B_2, B_1)$ satisfies Condition (3.3).

To ease our calculations, we define B_1 by the matrix

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 & e_1 \\ e_1 & e_2 \\ e_3 & e_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

and for this B_1 , we detect a $B_2 \in \text{Hom}_E(2E(2), 3E)$ satisfying (3.3). We then check that such a B actually gives rise to a smooth surface X and the surface is rational. The type of a linear system embedding X into $\mathbb{P}^4$ will be also determined.

Remark 3.2. (i) Note that B_1 corresponds to the multiplication map

$$W \otimes H^1\mathcal{I}_X(3) \rightarrow H^1\mathcal{I}_X(4)$$

(see [6]). So B_1 induces a map from the set of hyperplanes to the set of linear transformations from $H^1\mathcal{I}_X(3)$ to $H^1\mathcal{I}_X(4)$. By the definition of B_1 , the hyperplane classes, for which the corresponding linear transformation is not injective, form a rational cubic scroll in $\mathbb{P}(V)$.

(ii) Let

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e_0 & e_1 \\ e_1 & e_2 \\ e_2 & e_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The associate variety in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is a cone over a twisted cubic, so this is singular. For this B_1 , there are actually B_2 's such that (B_2, B_1) give rise to monads of the desired type by using Construction II we will discuss later. In these examples, the corresponding surfaces have, however, singularities. So our choice of the matrix B_2 seems to be reasonable.

Both the columns of such a B_2 are not generated by those of B_1 . They are furthermore linearly independent. So B_2 corresponds to a plane in the quotient of $3 \wedge^2 V$

by $V \wedge < B_1 >$. Obviously, B_2 and B'_2 in $\text{Hom}(2E(2), 3E)$ corresponds to the same plane in the quotient if and only if $B = (B_2, B_1)$ and $B' = (B'_2, B_1)$ define the same E -module. In this case, (A_B, B) and $(A_{B'}, B')$ give rise to the same monad up to an isomorphism. Since the quotient space has dimension 20, elements in $\text{Hom}(2E(2), 3E)$ satisfying the desired conditions form a subvariety of $G(2, 20)$.

Let us try to describe the variety $\mathbb{M}$ more precisely. From (3.3), the following sequence of vector spaces is exact:

$$0 \rightarrow 4K \rightarrow 2V \oplus 2\bigwedge^2 V \xrightarrow{B} 3\bigwedge^3 V \xrightarrow{f} U \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.6)$$

where U is the cokernel $\text{Coker}(B_2, B_1)$ that is four-dimensional. Note that the map B_2 is factored as in the following figure:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 2K \otimes V & \xrightarrow{B_2} & 3\bigwedge^3 V \\ & \searrow B_2 \otimes 1 & \nearrow \wedge \\ & 3\bigwedge^2 V \otimes V & \end{array}$$

Define a map g from $3\bigwedge^2 V \otimes V$ to U as the composite of the map f from $3\bigwedge^3 V$ to U in (3.6) and the map $\wedge : 3\bigwedge^2 V \otimes V \rightarrow 3\bigwedge^3 V$ in the above figure. This map corresponds to a map $g^* \in \text{Hom}(3\bigwedge^2 V, U \otimes W)$, and each column of B_2 is obtained as an element of $\text{Ker}(g)$. By construction, $\dim(\text{Ker}(g^*)) = 12$, and hence $\dim(\mathbb{M}) = \dim G(2, 12) = 20$. So $\text{codim}(\mathbb{M}, G(2, 20)) = 36 - 20 = 16$. Fortunately, we can improve our description of $\mathbb{M}$ to obtain smaller codimension as follows: Let T be the cokernel $\text{Coker}(A_1)$. Then one sees that T is isomorphic to $10\bigwedge^5 V$. We denote the grassmaniann of 4-quotient spaces of T by $\mathbb{G}$.

Proposition 3.3. $\mathbb{M}$ is a four-codimensional subvariety of $\mathbb{G}$.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 2\bigwedge^2 V & \xrightarrow{B_1} & 3\bigwedge^3 V & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 4K & \longrightarrow & 2V \oplus 2\bigwedge^2 V & \xrightarrow{(B_2, B_1)} & 3\bigwedge^2 V & \longrightarrow & U & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

So there is a map from $\text{Hom}_K(2V, 3\bigwedge^3 V)$ to the set of 4-quotient spaces $\mathbb{G}$ of T defined by $B_2 \mapsto U$. This map induces an injective map from $\mathbb{M}$ into $\mathbb{G}$. Indeed, B_2 and B'_2 are mapped to the same element of $\mathbb{G}$ if and only if the cokernels of (B_2, B_1) and (B'_2, B_1) are isomorphic. This happens precisely if each column of B'_2 is an E -linear combination of the columns of B_1 and B_2 . Therefore $\mathbb{M}$ can be regarded as a four-codimensional subvariety of $\mathbb{G}$. $\square$

For a field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with q elements, we can therefore expect to find a point $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ from $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ at a rate of $(1 : q^4)$. The statistics suggests that there two different family in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_5)$ whose elements satisfy Condition (3.3):

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} 2 & 4 & . & . & . \\ 1 & . & 3 & 2 & . \\ 0 & . & . & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & . & . & . & 5 \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} 2 & 4 & . & . & . \\ 1 & . & 3 & 2 & . \\ 0 & . & . & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & . & . & . & 10 \end{array}$$

However, examples with the second Betti table do not give rise to any Beilinson monads of the desired type by Proposition 3.1. Examples with the first Betti table appeared 18 times in a test of $5^4 \cdot 10$ examples. So it turns out that the codimension of the first family in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F}_5)$ is 4.

Proposition 3.4. *There is a smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_5$ with $d = 12$ and $\pi = 13$.*

Proof. By random search, we can find a B_2 satisfying the desired conditions. Determine the corresponding maps $A_B : 4\Omega^3(3) \rightarrow 2\Omega^2(2) \oplus 2\Omega^1(1)$ and $B = (B_2, B_1) : 2\Omega^2(2) \oplus 2\Omega^1(1) \rightarrow 3\mathcal{O}$. Then compute the homology $\ker(B)/\text{im}(A_B)$. If the homology is isomorphic to the ideal sheaf of a surface with the desired invariants, then check smoothness of the surface with the Jacobian criterion. Perform this operation repeatedly. Then one can find the matrix

$$B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e_{23} - e_{34} & 2e_{23} + e_{24} - 2e_{34} \\ e_{03} + e_{13} - 2e_{14} + e_{24} - 2e_{34} & 2e_{13} - 2e_{23} + 2e_{04} + e_{24} + e_{34} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{31} &= e_{01} - 2e_{02} - 2e_{12} + 2e_{03} - 2e_{13} + e_{04} - 2e_{14} - e_{24} - 2e_{34}; \\ b_{32} &= e_{02} - e_{12} - e_{03} + e_{23} - e_{04} + 2e_{14} - 2e_{34}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we denote $e_i \wedge e_j$ by e_{ij} for each $0 \leq i < j \leq 4$. A script for finding B_2 can be obtained from our webpage [1]. For this B_2 , there is a smooth surface with $d = 12$ and $\pi = 13$. $\square$

3.2 Adjunction process

In this subsection, we spot the surface found in the previous step within the Enriques-Kodaira classification and determine the type of the linear system that embeds X into $\mathbb{P}^4$. First of all, we recall a result of Sommese and Van de Ven for a surface over $\mathbb{C}$:

Theorem 3.5 ([10]). *Let X be a smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^n$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with degree d , sectional genus π , geometric genus p_g and irregularity q , let H be its hyperplane class, let K be its canonical divisor and let $N = \pi - 1 + p_g - 1$. Then the adjoint linear system $|H + K|$ defines a birational morphism*

$$\Phi = \Phi_{|H+K|} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$$

onto a smooth surface X_1 , which blows down precisely all (-1) -curves on X , unless

- (i) X is a plane, or Veronese surface of degree 4, or X is ruled by lines;
- (ii) X is a Del Pezzo surface or a conic bundle;
- (iii) X belongs to one of the following four families:
 - (a) $X = \mathbb{P}^2(p_1, \dots, p_7)$ embedded by $H \equiv 6L - \sum_{i=0}^7 2E_i$;
 - (b) $X = \mathbb{P}^2(p_1, \dots, p_8)$ embedded by $H \equiv 6L - \sum_{i=0}^7 2E_i - E_8$;
 - (c) $X = \mathbb{P}^2(p_1, \dots, p_8)$ embedded by $H \equiv 9L - \sum_{i=0}^8 3E_i$;
 - (d) $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is an indecomposable rank 2 bundle over an elliptic curve and $H \equiv B$, where B is a section $B^2 = 1$ on X .

Proof. See [10] for the proof. □

Setting $X = X_1$ and performing the same operation repeatedly, we obtain a sequence

$$X \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_k.$$

This process will be terminated if $N - 1 \leq 0$. For a surface with nonnegative Kodaira dimension, one obtains the minimal model at the end of the adjunction process. If the Kodaira dimension equals $-\infty$, we end up with a ruled surface, a conic bundle, a Del Pezzo surface, $\mathbb{P}^2$, or one of the few exceptions of Sommese and Van de Ven.

It is not known whether the adjunction theory holds over a finite field. However, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.6 ([5]). *Let X be a smooth surface over a field of arbitrary characteristic. Suppose that the adjoint linear system $|H + K|$ is base point free. If the image X_1 in $\mathbb{P}^N$ under the adjunction map $\Phi_{|H+K|}$ is a surface of the expected degree $(H + K)^2$, the expected sectional genus $\frac{1}{2}(H + K)(H + 2K) + 1$ and with $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_{X_1})$, then X_1 is smooth and $\Phi : X \rightarrow X_1$ is a simultaneous blow down of the $K_1^2 - K^2$ many exceptional lines on X .*

Proof. See Proposition 8.3 in [5] for a proof. □

Remark 3.7. The exceptional divisors contracted in each step is defined over the base field.

In [3] and [5], it is described how to compute the adjunction process for a smooth surface given by explicit equations (see [5] for the computational details). Let X be the smooth surface found in the previous step. The computation for the adjunction process in characteristic 5 gives

$$H \equiv 12L - \sum_{i_1=1}^2 4E_{i_1} - \sum_{i_2=3}^{11} 3E_{i_2} - \sum_{i_3=12}^{14} 2E_{i_3} - \sum_{i_4=15}^{21} E_{i_4}, \quad (3.7)$$

where L is the class of a line in $\mathbb{P}^2$. This process ends up with the Del Pezzo surface of degree 7, which is a two points blowing up of $\mathbb{P}^2$. Therefore we can conclude that X is rational.

Remark 3.8. Another way to prove rationality of X is to count the number of 6-secant lines to X . First we prove the following claim: Let Y be a smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with $d = 12$, $\pi = 13$ and $p_g = q = 0$. Suppose that there are at most a finite number of 6-secant lines to Y . Then Y is rational.

To prove this claim, we use the Le Barz's formula $N_6(d, \pi, \chi)$. This formula gives us $N_6(12, 13, 1) = 8$, that equals the number of 6-secant lines to Y plus the number of exceptional lines on Y , if there are at most a finite number of 6-secant lines to Y and if there are no lines on Y with nonnegative self-intersection number [9].

Let H_2 be the hyperplane class of the second adjoint surface Y_2 and let K_2 be the canonical divisor of Y_2 . Then we have $H_2 \cdot K_2 = -12 + a$, where a is the number of exceptional lines on Y . The Le Barz's formula tells us that Y can have at most eight exceptional lines if there are a finite number of 6-secant lines to Y . So $H_2 \cdot K_2 < 0$, and hence Y is rational.

Next we show that X has only one 6-secant line. The union of 6-secant lines to X is contained in all the quintics that contain X . With **MACAULAY2**, we can check that

$$V(H^0 \mathcal{I}_X(5)) = X \cup L_0,$$

where L_0 is a line. So L_0 is the only 6-secant line to X , and hence X is rational.

3.3 Lift to characteristic zero

In the previous step, we constructed a smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_5$. However, our main interest is the field of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. In this section, we show the existence of a lift to characteristic zero as follows: Let $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{G}$ be given as in the previous subsection.

Proposition 3.9 ([11]). *Let $y \in \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ be a point, where $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has codimension 4. Then there exists a number field $\mathbb{L}$ and a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathbb{L}$ such that the residue field $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}}$ is $\mathbb{F}_p$. Furthermore, if the surface $X/\mathbb{F}_p$ corresponding to y is smooth, then the surface $X/\mathbb{L}$ corresponding to the generic point of $\text{Spec } \mathbb{L} \subset \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}}$ is also smooth.*

Proof. Let q be a prime number. If this is not the case, $\mathbb{Z}$ has to be replaced by the ring of integers in a number field which has $\mathbb{F}_q$ as the residue field.

Since $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ has pure codimension 4 in y , there are four hyperplanes $H_1, \dots, H_4$ in $\mathbb{G}$ such that y is an isolated point of $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_q) \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_4$. We may assume that $H_1, \dots, H_4$ are defined over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ and that they meet transversally in y . This allows us to think that $\mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_p) \cap H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_4$ is defined over $\mathbb{Z}$. Let Z be an irreducible component of $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then $\dim Z = 1$.

The residue class field of generic point of Z is a number field $\mathbb{L}$ that is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Q}$, because $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is projective. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{L}$ and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal which lies over $y \in Z$. Then $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow Z \subset \mathbb{M}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}}$ -valued point which lifts y . The residue class field $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}} / \mathfrak{p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}}$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$.

Performing the construction of the surface over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}}$ gives a flat family $\mathcal{X}$ of surfaces over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}}$. Since smoothness is an open property, and since the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is smooth, the general fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{L}}$ is also smooth. $\square$

Next we argue that the adjunction process of the surface over the number field $\mathbb{L}$ has the same numerical behavior:

Proposition 3.10 ([5]). *Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L}, \mathfrak{p}}$ be a family as in Proposition 3.11. If the Hilbert polynomial of the first adjoint surface of $X = \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is as expected, and if $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-1)) = 0$, then the adjunction map of the general fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{L}}$ blows down the same number of exceptional lines as the adjunction map of the spacial fiber X .*

Proof. See Corollary 8.4 in [5] for a proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.11. *There exists a family of smooth rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with $d = 12$, $\pi = 13$, $p_g = q = 0$ and*

$$H \equiv 12L - \sum_{i_1=1}^2 4E_i - \sum_{i_2=3}^{11} 3E_i - \sum_{i_3=12}^{14} 2E_i - \sum_{i_4=15}^{21} E_i.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{F}_5} = \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{F}_5)$ and let y be the element of $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{F}_5}$ corresponding to the surface obtained in (1). We check with **MACAULAY2** that y satisfies the condition in the previous proposition. Let

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \text{Hom}(4\Omega^3(3), 2\Omega^2(2) \oplus 2\Omega^1(1)) \simeq 8V \oplus 8 \bigwedge^2 V, \\ V_2 &= \text{Hom}(2\Omega^2(2) \oplus 2\Omega^1(1), 3\mathcal{O}) \simeq 6 \bigwedge^2 V \oplus 6V, \\ V_3 &= \text{Hom}(4\Omega^3(3), 3\mathcal{O}) \simeq 12 \bigwedge^3 V. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the map

$$\phi : V_1 \oplus V_2 \rightarrow V_3$$

defined by $(A', B') \mapsto B' \circ A'$. Let $A_B \in V_1$ and $B \in V_2$ be the differentials of the monad for X given in (1). The differential $d\phi : V_1 \oplus V_2 \rightarrow V_3$ of the map ϕ at the point (A_B, B) is given by $(A', B') \mapsto B \circ A' + B' \circ A_B$. Consider the subset $\tilde{P}$ of $\phi(0)$ whose elements give monads of type (3.2). This forms an open subset of $\phi(0)$. Let H denote the group

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ v & D \end{pmatrix} \middle| C, D \in GL(2, \mathbb{F}_5), v \in GL(2, V) \right\}$$

and let $G = GL(4, \mathbb{F}_5) \times H \times GL(3, \mathbb{F}_5)$. Then $G' = G/\mathbb{F}_5^\times$ acts on $\tilde{P}$ by

$$(A', B')(f, g, h) = (g \circ A' \circ f^{-1}, h \circ B' \circ g^{-1}).$$

Let P be the set of isomorphic classes of monads of type (3.2) and let $T_{P,(A_B,B)}$ be the Zariski tangent space of P at the point corresponding to (A_B, B) . Then $P \simeq \tilde{P}/G'$, and hence $\dim(T_{P,(A_B,B)}) = \dim((d\phi)^{-1}(0)/G')$. For fixed bases of V_1, V_2 and V_3 , we can give the matrix that represents the differential $d\phi$ explicitly. This matrix enables us to compute the kernel of $d\phi$. This computation can be done with **MACAULAY2**:

$$\dim(T_{P,(A_B,B)}) = \dim((d\phi)^{-1}(0)) - \dim(G') = 90 - (53 - 1) = 38.$$

A **MACAULAY2**script for this computation can be found in [1]. By construction, Monad (3.2) is uniquely determined by B up to isomorphisms. As B_1 corresponds to the general member of $\text{Hom}(2E(-1), 3E)$, the parameter space for B_1 has dimension 18, which implies that $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbb{F}_5}$ has the desired dimension $38 - 18 = 20$.

The type of the very ample divisor that embeds the surface into $\mathbb{P}^4$ follows from Proposition 3.10. $\square$

4 Construction II

First of all, we motivate the second construction. Let X be the smooth rational surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ constructed in the previous section. Recall that the twisted ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_X(4)$ of X is obtained from the monad

$$4\Omega^3(3) \xrightarrow{A} 2\Omega^2(2) \oplus 2\Omega^1(1) \xrightarrow{B} 3\mathcal{O}.$$

Let A_1 and B_1 be the linear parts of A and B respectively. The variety in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ associated to A_1 is a rational normal curve C_{A_1} ; while the variety in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ associated to B_1 is a rational cubic scroll X_{B_1} . With **MACAULAY2**, we can check that X_{B_1} and C_{A_1} do not intersect. Starting with these A_1 and B_1 , we reobtain the surface X . The construction takes the following five steps (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5):

(1) Detect an $A'_2 \in \text{Hom}(4\Omega^3(3), 2\Omega^2(2))$ and a $B'_2 \in \text{Hom}(2\Omega^2(2), 3\mathcal{O})$ such that the corresponding sequence

$$4\Omega^3(3) \xrightarrow{A'} 2\Omega^2(2) \oplus 2\Omega^1(1) \xrightarrow{B'} 3\mathcal{O} \quad (4.1)$$

is a monad, where $A' = {}^t(A_1, A'_2)$ and $B' = (B'_2, B_1)$. Since Sequence (4.1) should be a complex, A'_2 and B'_2 must satisfy the following condition:

$$B'_2 \circ A_1 + B_1 \circ A'_2 = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Let A'_2 be the 2×4 matrix whose (k, l) entry is $\sum_{i < j} a_{ij}^{kl} e_i \wedge e_j$ and let B'_2 be the 3×2 matrix whose (k, l) entry is $\sum_{i < j} b_{ij}^{kl} e_i \wedge e_j$. Condition (4.2) gives rise to the homogeneous system of 120 linear equations with 140 unknowns. The minimal number of equations in the system, denoted by N_{A_1} , is 114. Thus the solution space to the system has dimension 26.

(2) Solving those equations for b_{ij}^{kl} 's, we obtain 34 relations among b_{ij}^{kl} 's, and hence 26 variables in b_{ij}^{kl} 's can be chosen freely to determine B'_2 . With **MACAULAY2**, it can be checked that B_1 and the B'_2 given by the random choices of values for 26 parameters define a homomorphism from $2E(2) \oplus 2E(1)$ to $3E$ satisfying Conditions (3.3), (3.5) and the conditions in Proposition 3.1.

(3) As we have shown in the previous section, $B = (B'_2, B_1)$ determines a homomorphism $A_B : 4E(3) \rightarrow 2E(2) \oplus 2E(1)$ uniquely up to automorphisms of $4E(3)$. Then the pair (A_B, B) defines a complex $M(N_{A_1})$.

(4) Compute the homology $\ker(B)/\text{im}(A_B)$ of $M(N_{A_1})$ in cohomological degree 0. The homology corresponds to the twisted ideal sheaf of a surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with the expected invariants. Smoothness of this surface can be checked by the Jacobian criterion.

(5) The hyperplane class of the surface has the same type as (3.7). Indeed, as in Section 3.2, iterating the adjunction process determines the type of the hyperplane class.

For the fixed B_1 , let A_1 be an element of $\text{Hom}(4\Omega^3(3), 2\Omega^2(2))$ with $N_{A_1} = 114$ such that

(c_1) C_{A_1} is smooth and

(c_2) C_{A_1} does not intersect X_{B_1} .

From the reconstruction of X described above, we come up with the question:

“Given A_1 , can we construct a smooth rational surface by following (1), (2), (3), (4) and (5)?”

The answer is yes. Indeed, by computer search, we are able to find an $A_1 \in \text{Hom}(4\Omega^3(3), 2\Omega^2(2))$ satisfying Conditions (c_1) and (c_2). For this A_1 , there is a smooth rational surface of the same type as X . So the next question is

“What happens, if one varies the value of N_{A_1} ?”

In this section, using the construction described above, we establish the existence of the family of smooth rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ over a finite field for each $114 \leq N_{A_1} \leq 117$ and show that there exists a lift to characteristic zero for each family. We also establish the existence of a smooth rational surface for $N_{A_1} = 113$. In this case, the intersection of C_{A_1} and X_{B_1} is no longer empty.

Remark 4.1. Let $A_1 \in \text{Hom}(4E(3), 2E(2))$ and let S_{A_1} be the solution space to the homogeneous system of linear equations associated with A_1 . Let U_{B_1} be the vector space formed by the pairs of two E -linear combinations of columns of B_1 . Then U_{B_1} can be regarded as a subspace of $\text{Hom}(2E(2), 3E)$. Since S_{A_1} contains U_{B_1} as a twenty-dimensional subspace, both the columns of B'_2 can be written as E -linear combinations of columns of B_1 if and only if $N_{A_1} = 120$, so we may exclude this case.

Let V_{A_1} be the column space of A_1 , and let V_{B_1} be the row space of B_1 . Then $\mathbb{P}(V_{A_1})$ and $\mathbb{P}(V_{B_1})$ can be both embedded as subvarieties into $G = G(2, V)$. Let us denote by Z_{A_1} and Z_{B_1} the images of $\mathbb{P}(V_{A_1})$ and $\mathbb{P}(V_{B_1})$ respectively.

Lemma 4.2 (Ranestad). *Let A_1 be an element of $\text{Hom}(4E(3), 2E(2))$ satisfying (c_1) and (c_2) , and let N_{A_1} be the minimal number of equations in the homogeneous linear system associated with A_1 . If Z_{A_1} and Z_{B_1} intersect in k points, then N_{A_1} is at most $120 - k$.*

Proof. See Lemma 4.10 in Appendix for the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.3. (i) Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the family of rational normal curves in $\mathbb{P}(V)$, and let $\mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1})$ be the subfamily of $\mathfrak{F}$ formed by rational normal curves C_{A_1} satisfying (c_2) such that the minimal number of equations in the homogeneous linear system associated with A_1 equals N_{A_1} . Then $\text{codim}(\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1})) \leq 120 - N_{A_1}$ by Theorem 4.2.

(ii) Each vector of V_{A_1} spans a two-dimensional subspace of V . Similarly, each vector of V_{B_1} spans a two-dimensional subspace. Then $v \in V_{A_1}$ and $w \in V_{B_1}$ span the same subspace U of V if and only if Z_{A_1} and Z_{B_1} intersect at the point corresponding to U .

The following lemma gives a lower bound for $N(A_1)$:

Lemma 4.4 (Ranestad). *Let A_1 be an element of $\text{Hom}(4E(3), 2E(2))$ satisfying (c_1) and (c_2) , and let $\Gamma = Z_{A_1} \cap Z_{B_1}$. Suppose that Γ is finite. Then Γ consists of at most six points.*

Proof. See Lemma 4.11 in Appendix for the proof. $\square$

Let $N_{A_1} = 119$ and let B_2 be an element of $\text{Hom}(2E(2), 3E)$ obtained in (2). In examples, $B = (B_1, B'_2)$ has the syzygies of the following type

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} 0 & 3 & 2 & . & . \\ -1 & . & 2 & 6 & 10 \\ -2 & . & . & 5 & * \end{array} \quad (4.3)$$

Taking a map from $4E(3)$ to $6E(3)$ at random and compositing the map to the second map in (4.3) give a homomorphism $A : 4E(3) \rightarrow 2E(2) \oplus 2E(1)$. Then A and B define a complex of type (4.1). The homology $\ker(B)/\text{im}(A)$, however, has rank three.

Similarly, the map B has syzygies of the following type in the case of $N_{A_1} = 118$:

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} 0 & 3 & 2 & . & . \\ -1 & . & 2 & 5 & 3 \\ -2 & . & . & 3 & * \end{array} \quad (4.4)$$

The homology of the associated complex in cohomological degree 0 is not the ideal sheaf of a surface with the desired invariants.

Proposition 4.5. *There exist smooth rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_5$ with $d = 12$ and $\pi = 13$ embedded by one of the following linear systems:*

$$(i) \quad \left| 12L - \sum_{i_1=1}^2 4E_{i_1} - \sum_{i_2=3}^{11} 3E_{i_2} - \sum_{i_3=12}^{14} 2E_{i_3} - \sum_{i_4=15}^{21} E_{i_4} \right|,$$

- (ii) $|12L - \sum_{i_1=1}^3 4E_{i_1} - \sum_{i_2=4}^9 3E_{i_2} - \sum_{i_3=10}^{15} 2E_{i_3} - \sum_{i_4=16}^{21} E_{i_4}|,$
- (iii) $|12L - \sum_{i_1=1}^4 4E_{i_1} - \sum_{i_2=5}^7 3E_{i_2} - \sum_{i_3=8}^{16} 2E_{i_3} - \sum_{i_4=17}^{21} E_{i_4}|,$
- (iv) $|12L - \sum_{i_1=1}^5 4E_{i_1} - \sum_{i_2=6}^{17} 2E_{i_2} - \sum_{i_4=18}^{21} E_{i_4}|.$

Proof. By random search over $\mathbb{F}_5$, we can find an $A_1 \in \text{Hom}(4E(3), 2E(2))$ satisfying (c_1) and (c_2) for each $114 \leq N_{A_1} \leq 117$:

- (i) $\begin{pmatrix} e_0 + 2e_1 + 2e_2 & -e_3 & -2e_1 + e_2 + 2e_3 & e_0 + 2e_1 + 2e_2 - e_3 + 2e_4 \\ e_0 + 2e_1 - e_2 + e_3 & -e_4 & e_1 - 2e_2 & e_3 + 2e_4 \end{pmatrix};$
- (ii) $\begin{pmatrix} 2e_3 & -e_0 + 2e_1 - 2e_2 + 2e_3 - e_4 & 2e_1 + 2e_2 + 2e_4 & e_0 + 2e_2 + e_3 - 2e_4 \\ 2e_4 & e_0 - 2e_1 - 2e_2 - 2e_3 - 2e_4 & e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3 - e_4 & e_2 + 2e_3 - 2e_4 \end{pmatrix};$
- (iii) $\begin{pmatrix} -e_2 - 2e_3 & 2e_0 + e_2 - e_3 - 2e_4 & -e_1 - 2e_2 - e_3 + 2e_4 & e_0 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4 \\ 2e_2 + 2e_3 - e_4 & e_0 + 2e_2 - 2e_3 - e_4 & e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - 2e_4 & e_2 + 2e_3 + e_4 \end{pmatrix};$
- (iv) $\begin{pmatrix} -e_1 + e_4 & -2e_0 + e_1 - e_2 - e_4 & e_1 + e_2 - 2e_3 + 2e_4 & e_0 + 2e_2 - 2e_3 + e_4 \\ -2e_1 - 2e_2 - 2e_3 + 2e_4 & e_0 + 2e_1 - 2e_2 - e_3 & e_1 + e_2 + 2e_3 - 2e_4 & -2e_2 - e_4 \end{pmatrix}.$

A MACAULAY2 script for finding these A_1 's can be obtained from [1]. For each matrix, we can show by (2), (3) and (4) that there is a smooth rational surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with the desired invariants. The type of a linear system embedding the surface into $\mathbb{P}^4$ can be determined as in Section 3.2. $\square$

Remark 4.6. (i) To find the matrices given in the proof of Proposition 4.5 more effectively, we take the following extra steps: For two fixed vectors v_1 and v_2 that are contained in V_{B_1} , choose a 2×2 matrix A'_1 with linear entries randomly to make the augmented matrix $A_1 = (v_1, v_2, A'_1)$. Then compute N_{A_1} . In this case, N_{A_1} should be less than or equal to 118 by Remark 4.3 (ii). If $N_{A_1} \leq 117$, then take Steps from (1) to (5). We repeat this process until a smooth surface is found.

(ii) Let $A_1 \in \text{Hom}(4E(3), 2E(2))$ with $114 \leq N_{A_1} \leq 117$ satisfying (c_1) and (c_2) . By Proposition 4.5, we may assume that there are elements of $\text{Hom}(2E(2), 3E)$ obtained by random choices of values for $140 - N_{A_1}$ parameters that give rise to smooth surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with $d = 12$ and $\pi = 13$. Let B'_2 and B''_2 be such elements of $\text{Hom}(2E(2), 3E)$. Then the corresponding monads are isomorphic if and only if B'_2 and B''_2 differ only by a constant (modulo U_{B_1}). This is equivalent to the random choices for B'_2 and B''_2 are the same up to constant. It turns out, therefore, that the family of smooth surfaces obtained in this way has dimension $(140 - N_{A_1} - 1) - 20 = 119 - N_{A_1}$.

(iii) For each A_1 given in the proof of Proposition 4.5, we can check that Z_{A_1} and Z_{B_1} intersect in $120 - N_{A_1}$ points. So, for a general choice, the equality in Lemma 4.2 holds, and hence the codimension of $\mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1})$ in $\mathfrak{F}$ is expected to be $120 - N_{A_1}$.

The proof of Lemma 4.4 suggests us the existence of a 2×4 matrix A_1 with entries from V such that C_{A_1} is smooth and Z_{A_1} intersects Z_{B_1} in more than six points if we allow C_{A_1} to intersect X_{B_1} .

Proposition 4.7. *There exists a smooth rational surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_3$ with $d = 12$ and $\pi = 13$ embedded by*

$$\left| 12L - 4E_1 - \sum_{i_2=2}^{13} 3E_{i_2} - \sum_{i_4=13}^{21} E_{i_4} \right|.$$

Proof. We can find an $A_1 \in \text{Hom}(4E(3), 3E(2))$ such that C_{A_1} is smooth and Z_{A_1} intersects Z_{B_1} in seven points over $\mathbb{F}_3$ by random search:

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -e_4 & -e_2 - e_3 + e_4 & -e_1 & e_0 - e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4 \\ -e_2 - e_3 + e_4 & e_0 + e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4 & e_2 & -e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this example, $N_{A_1} = 113$. So the codimension of $\mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1})$ in $\mathfrak{F}$ is expected to be 7. For this A_1 , there is a smooth rational surface X in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with the desired invariants. The type of a linear system embedding X into $\mathbb{P}^4$ can be determined by the adjunction theory (see Section 3.2). $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Let A_1 be a point of $\mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1})$, where $\mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1})$ has codimension $120 - N_{A_1}$. Then there exists a number field $\mathbb{L}$ and a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathbb{L}$ such that the residue field $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L},\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L},\mathfrak{p}}$ is in $\mathbb{F}_p$. Furthermore, if the surface $X/\mathbb{F}_p$ corresponding to A_1 is smooth, then the surface $X/\mathbb{L}$ corresponding to the generic point $\text{Spec } \mathbb{L} \subset \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{L},\mathfrak{p}}$ is also smooth.*

Proof. See Proposition 3.9 for the proof. $\square$

Theorem 4.9. *There are at least five different families of smooth rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with $d = 12$ and $\pi = 13$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.8, it suffices to show that for each $113 \leq N_{A_1} \leq 117$, the subfamily $\mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1})$ of $\mathfrak{F}$ has the desired codimension. Let P be the set of isomorphic classes of monads of type

$$4\Omega^3(3) \rightarrow 2\Omega^2(2) \oplus 2\Omega^1(1) \rightarrow 3\mathcal{O}.$$

Let $T_{P,M(A_1)}$ be the Zariski tangent space of P at the point corresponding to $M(A_1)$ for each A_1 given in the proof of Propositions 4.5 and 4.7. As in the proof of Theorem 3.11, we can show that $\dim(T_{P,M(A_1)}) = 38$ for each A_1 . From Remark 4.6 (ii), the dimension of $\mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1})$ is therefore

$$\dim(\mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1})) = \dim(T_{P,M(A_1)}) - (18 + (119 - N_{A_1})) = N_{A_1} - 99.$$

Recall that the parameter space of rational normal curves in $\mathbb{P}(V)$ has dimension 21. Thus

$$\text{codim}(\mathfrak{F}(N_{A_1}), \mathfrak{F}) = 21 - (N_{A_1} - 99) = 120 - N_{A_1},$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

References

- [1] H. Abo, Macaulay 2 scripts for finding rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with degree 12. Available at <http://www.math.colostate.edu/~abo/programs.html>.
- [2] H. C. Bothmer, C. Erdenberger and K. Ludwig. *A new family of rational surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$* , math.AG/0404492, 2004
- [3] W. Decker, L. Ein and F.-O. Schreyer, *Construction of surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$* , J. Algebr. Geom. **2** (1993) 185–237
- [4] W. Decker and D. Eisenbud, *Sheaf algorithms using the exterior algebra*, In: D. Eisenbud, D.R. Grayson, M.E. Stillman, B. Sturmfels (Eds), Computations in Algebraic Geometry with *Macaylay 2*, Algorithms Comput. Math. **8** Springer, Berlin (2002) 215–249
- [5] W. Decker and F. -O. Schreyer, *Non-general type surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$: some remarks on bounds and constructions*, J. Symbolic Comput., **25** (2000) 545–582
- [6] D. Eisenbud, G. Fløystad and F.-O. Schreyer, *Sheaf cohomology and free resolutions over exterior algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003) 4397–4426
- [7] G. Ellingsrud and C. Peskine *Sur les surfaces lisse de $\mathbb{P}^4$* , Invent. Math. **95** (1989) 1–12
- [8] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, (1991) Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2>.
- [9] P. Le Barz, *Formules pour les multisechantes des surfaces*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, **292** (1981) 797–799
- [10] A. J. Sommese and A. Van de Ven, *On the adjunction mapping*, Math. Ann. **278** (1987) 593–603.
- [11] F.-O. Schreyer, *Small fields in constructive algebraic geometry*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **179** (1996) 221–228.
- [12] F.-O. Schreyer and F. Tonoli, *Needles in a Haystack: Special varieties via small field*, In: D. Eisenbud, D.R. Grayson, M.E. Stillman, B. Sturmfels (Eds), Computations in Algebraic Geometry with *Macaylay 2*, Algorithms Comput. Math. **8** Springer, Berlin (2002) 215–249
- [13] F. Toloni, *Construction of Calabi-Yau 3-folds in $\mathbb{P}^6$* , J. Algebr. Geom **13** (2004) 209–232.

Hirotschi Abo
Department of Mathematics
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, CO, 80523-1874
USA

Appendix to “Construction of Rational Surfaces of Degree 12 in Projective Fourspace”

By Kristian Ranestad

Throughout this appendix, the ground field k is algebraically closed of any characteristic.

Fix a vector space $V \cong k^5$, a 3×2 matrix B_1 and a 2×4 matrix A_1 with entries from V . Let S_B be the subvariety of $\check{\mathbb{P}}^4 = \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ where B_1 has rank 1, and let C_A be the subvariety of $\check{\mathbb{P}}^4$ where A_1 has rank 1. Throughout this note, we make the generality assumption on A_1 and B_1 , that C_A and S_B do not intersect and they are both smooth, i.e. C_A is a rational normal quartic curve, while S_B is a rational cubic surface scroll.

Consider furthermore a 3×2 matrix B_2 and a 2×4 matrix A_2 with entries from $\bigwedge^2 V$ and the 3×4 matrix

$$P_{A,B} = B_1 \circ A_2 + B_2 \circ A_1$$

with exterior multiplication, so that the entries of $P_{A,B}$ are in $\bigwedge^3 V$. The matrix equation $P_{A,B} = 0$ defines linear equations on the coefficients of A_2 and B_2 . We define $N_{A,B}$ to be the rank of this system of linear equations. To analyse this rank we define two further varieties associated to A_1 and B_1 . By the generality assumption on these matrices every column of A_1 and every row of B_1 has rank 2, i.e. defines an element in $G = G(2, V)$ or equivalently a plane in $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$. The columns in A_1 define 3-secant planes to C_A , while the rows in B_1 define planes that intersect S_B in a conic section. If V_A and V_B are the column space of A_1 and the row space of B_1 respectively, then the corresponding map of $\mathbb{P}(V_A)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V_B)$ into $G \subset \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 V)$ is the double embedding. We let Z_A and Z_B be the images under these double embeddings of $\mathbb{P}(V_A)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V_B)$, respectively, i.e. Z_A is a Veronese 3-fold, while Z_B is a Veronese surface. The purpose of this appendix is to prove

Lemma 4.10. *If Z_{A_1} and Z_{B_1} have exactly $r \leq 6$ common points, then*

$$N_{A,B} \leq 120 - r.$$

Proof. We first show a lemma that explains the restriction on r in the Lemma 4.10.

Lemma 4.11. *Assume that A_1 and B_1 satisfies the above generality assumption. If $\Gamma = Z_A \cap Z_B$ is finite, then it consists of at most 6 distinct points.*

Proof. First we prove that Γ either consists of at most 6 points or 4 points in Γ lie on a line in both $\mathbb{P}(V_A)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V_B)$.

Notice first that Z_A itself spans $\mathbb{P}^9$ while Z_B spans $\mathbb{P}^5$. This $\mathbb{P}^5$ intersects G in the union of Z_B and a plane P . Thus Γ thought of as a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^3 = \mathbb{P}(V_A)$ is contained in the four quadrics defined by restricting the linear forms that vanish on

Z_B to Z_A . Assume now that Γ consists of at least 7 distinct points. If five of them are in a plane, then the conic through these five is a fixed curve in all quadrics through Γ , which means that the intersection of $\mathbb{P}^5$ with Z_A contains a curve C . But $\mathbb{P}^5$ intersects G in the union of Z_B and a plane, so the curve C must be contained in this plane, i.e. it must be a conic, the image of a line in $\mathbb{P}^3$. The intersection of the plane and Z_B is also a conic, so Γ would contain four collinear points.

On the other hand, if at most three points are in a plane, there is a unique twisted cubic through the six points. If four points lie in a plane, this curve degenerates into a conic and a line or three lines. In either case this possibly reducible twisted cubic lies in three quadrics, and the six points are defined by four quadrics, a contradiction.

Assume that Z_B and Z_A intersect in finite number of points and four of them lie on a line both in $\mathbb{P}(V_B)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V_A)$. The image of these two lines are two conics in $\mathbb{P}^5$ that clearly lie in the plane P . On the one hand the four planes in $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$ corresponding to the four points each intersects the rational cubic scroll S_B in a conic and the rational normal curve C_A in three points. Let U be the union of these four planes.

The conic, which is obtained as the intersection of the plane P and Z_B , corresponds to the line whose underlying vector space spanned by two rows of B_1 . The determinant of the 2×2 matrix generated by these two rows defines a quadric hypersurface Q containing the union U and S_B . This quadric may have rank 3 or 4. We will prove that Q also contains C_A and that therefore S_B and C_A must intersect.

In case Q has rank 4, Q is a cone with a vertex. Since C_A meets each plane in U in three points, C_A is contained in Q by the Bezout theorem. If Q has rank 3, then any plane defined by a linear combination of the two rows contains the same line, which is the directrix of S_B . Assume that C_A is not contained in Q . Then at least two of three points in each plane are common for all four planes, because otherwise the number of intersection number of Q and C_A is going to be more than 8. These points, however lie on the directrix of S_B , which is a contradiction. $\square$

We return to the proof of Lemma 4.10.

First note that by the generality assumptions the Lemma 4.10 applies. Following that notation we let Z_A and Z_B be the images under these double embeddings of $\mathbb{P}(V_{A_1})$ and $\mathbb{P}(V_{B_1})$ respectively.

Consider now the matrix equation $P_{A,B} = B_1 \circ A_2 + B_2 \circ A_1 = 0$. Since the entries in $P_{A,B}$ lie in $\bigwedge^3 V$ which has rank 10, the rank $N_{A,B}$ of the linear system of equations in the coefficients is at most $3 \times 4 \times 10 = 120$. These equations are parametrized by

$$V_{A_1} \otimes V_{B_1} \otimes \bigwedge^2 V.$$

In fact, a row R_1 in A_1 and a column L_1 in B_1 , the corresponding row R_2 in B_2 and column L_2 in A_2 and a 2-vector $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 V$, define an exterior product $(R_1 \cdot L_2 + R_2 \cdot L_1) \wedge \omega$ which lies in $\bigwedge^5 V \cong k$. Assume that the subspaces of V generated by L_1 and R_1 coincide, then

$$(R_1 \cdot L_2 + R_2 \cdot L_1) \wedge \left(\bigwedge^2 L_1 \right) = 0$$

independent of L_2 and R_2 . Therefore there is one relation among the coefficients of $P_{A,B}$ for each point of intersection of Z_A and Z_B in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 V)$. Assume now that there are $r \leq 6$ points of intersection $Z_A \cap Z_B$, and consider their corresponding tensors in $V_{A_1} \otimes V_{B_1} \otimes \bigwedge^2 V$. Notice that these tensors are all pure, so they have natural projections on each factor. In particular, they are linearly independent if they are linearly independent in one factor. In fact we end our proof by showing that the $r \leq 6$ points in $Z_A \cap Z_B$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 V)$.

Since Z_A and Z_B are quadratically embedded, no three points on either of them are collinear. If four points are coplanar, the plane of their span meets both Z_A and Z_B in a conic. In the proof of the Lemma 4.11 we saw that this is the case only if C_A and S_B intersect. If five points in $Z_A \cap Z_B$ span only a $\mathbb{P}^3$, this $\mathbb{P}^3$ must intersect the Veronese surface Z_B in a conic and a residual point, i.e. four of the five points are coplanar as in the previous case. Finally if $Z_A \cap Z_B$ consists of six points that span a $\mathbb{P}^4$, then this $\mathbb{P}^4$ intersect Z_B in rational normal quartic curve, or in two conics. But the span of Z_B intersects G in the union of the plane P and Z_B , so the $\mathbb{P}^4$ intersects G in a curve of degree 4 and a line in P that is bisecant to the curve, or the plane P and a conic that meets P in a point. Since no four of the six points are coplanar and $Z_A \cap Z_B$ is finite, the intersection of $\mathbb{P}^4$ and Z_A cannot contain a curve. The six points in $Z_A \cap Z_B$ considered as points in $\mathbb{P}(V_{A_1})$ therefore lie on five quadrics, which have a finite intersection. If five of them are in a plane, then the conic in the plane through those five points must lie in each quadric, a contradiction. If at most four points are coplanar, there is a unique, possibly reducible twisted cubic curve through the six points. But then the six points lie on only four quadrics, a contradiction completing our proof. $\square$

Kristian Ranestad
 Matematisk Institutt
 Universitetet i Oslo
 P.O.Box 1053
 N-0316, Oslo
 Norway